

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/696,380 10/29/2003 Saravuth Sirinorakul NSE009 US 6795 EXAMINER 34036 7590 03/22/2005 SILICON VALLEY PATENT GROUP LLP **EVERHART, CARIDAD** 2350 MISSION COLLEGE BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 360** SANTA CLARA, CA 95054 2891

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Au
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,380	SIRINORAKUL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Caridad M. Everhart	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a pre - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory process - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the common that is used.	nunication,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-32</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8,11-18,21 and 23-26</u> is/are rest of the company of the application is a company of the a	awn from consideration. ejected. ed to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyant oction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A lority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/696,380

Art Unit: 2825

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said second metal" in line 2 of claim 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claim 1 from which claim 6 depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,6, and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zalesinski, et al (US 5,567,984).

Zalesinski, et al. Disclose a semiconductor die(feature 2 in Fig. 4 and col. 2,lines 47-50), a capsule enclosing the die(feature 7 in Fig. 4, and described in col. 3,lines 14-15), a plurality of metal studs (feature 8 in Fig. 4 and col. 3, ilnes 15-17), and bonding wires (3 in Fig. 1 and col. 2,lines 49-50). The studs can be seen to have flat surfaces.

Claims 1,2,6,7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choi(US 5,693,573).

Choi discloses a semiconductor die (feature 15 in Fig. 4D and col. 2, lines 65-67), metal studs (features 14 in Fig. 4D and col. 2, lines 50-55) which can be seen to have a flat bottom surface, and bonding wires (feature 17 in Fig. 4D and col. 3, lines 17-20). The

wires 17 are connected at a location which is considered adjacent to the studs since the features 13b are connected to the studs. The studs comprise a second metal on the surface of a first metal (features 13a are considered the first metal col. 2,lines 48-50; features 14 are the second metal col. 2,lines 50-64). Choi teaches a die attach pad(col. 3, lines 12-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3,8,12, 14-18, 21, and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi as applied to claim 1 above.

Choi does not disclose the recited materials nor the plurality of rows of studs nor the thickness or dimensions of the die-attach pad.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,380

Art Unit: 2825

With respect to the copper material, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used copper in the device taught by Choi for the frame because copper is conventional in the art in the formation of package frames.

With respect to the plurality of rows of studs, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a plurality of rows in the device taught by Choi because the cross-sectional view could be repeated to produce a plurality of rows of the studs because the cross-sectional view only shows a portion of the device.

With respect to the die- attach pad, the portion on which the die is attached satisfies the limitation of a die-attach pad, and the tape taught by Choi(col. 3, lines 12-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a tape that has epoxy adhesive in the device taught by Choi because the use of epoxy adhesive is conventional in the art. With respect to the dimensions of the die attach pad, this is a variable of the art which one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to choose, for example taking into account the desired strength of the bonding and the desired heat-transfer desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-32 are allowed.

Claims 4,5,9,10,19,20, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 571-272-1892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, B. Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Sueshar

C. Everhart 3-21-2005